

EUROPEAN SPALLATION SOURCE



Status of the European Spallation Source Control System

Quick overview

PRESENTED BY TIMO KORHONEN, REPRESENTING THE INTEGRATED CONTROL SYSTEM DIVISION 2023-10-13





1 Introduction

- 2 Standards and Platforms
- 3 Development philosophy, tools and goals
- 4 Keeping in line
- 5 Lessons learned so far
- 6 Future work & road to project completion
- 7 Acknowledgements

The European Spallation Source ERIC

An accelerator-based neutron source in Lund, southern Sweden and

Data Management Center (DMSC) in Copenhagen, Denmark

- Material and life sciences research
- A collaboration of 13 European nations
- An ERIC (European Research Infrastructure Consortium) since October 1st, 2015
- Superconducting linac, rotating tungsten target
- 15 neutron instruments in construction,

Key parameters to be reached by start of user program

- 2 MW beam power capability, 2 GeV proton energy, 14 Hz repetition rate, 2.86 ms pulse@62.5 mA beam current
- Beam power to be increased as budget allows (5 MW)
- More neutron instruments/beamlines to be added





Construction progress







At the time of ICALEPCS 2015, Melbourne Green field...

About 2019, just before covid pandemic Starting to take shape

ESS site in 2022... Coming out of the pandemic





- All buildings ready and handed over.
- Equipment installation continues
 - Accelerator installation continues
 - Target and neutron beamlines in very active construction





• We moved in the new offices

 The main control room, to be equipped

How far have we come?



Sub-headline to strengthen the headline above



Normal Conducting Linac. Commissioned in 2023 (minus one DTL tank)



Installation of superconducting cavities (cryomodules) ongoing.



Overall progress

How far have we come?















The Control System

Scope and references to presentations

Computing infrastructure: Networks, server infrastructure, OS

CentOS 7, now migrating to Ubuntu

Hardware

•THMBCMO21 Development of Standard MicroTCA Deployment at ESS

Timing system

•THMBCMO24 Time Synchronization and Timestamping for the ESS Neutron Instruments •THPDP051 LLRF and Timing System integration at ESS

Subsystem integration (EPICS IOCs, PLC-based systems)

THPDP053 Test Automation for Control Systems at the European Spallation Source
 THPDP052 Characterizing Motion Control Systems to Enable Accurate Continuous and Event-Based Scans
 TUPDP079 Commissioning of PLC Based Control System for MBL Cryomodules at ESS SRF Linac
 TUPDP080 Automated Procedure for Conditioning of Normal Conducting Accelerator Cavities
 THMBCM011 Full Stack PLC to EPICS Integration at ESS



The Control System

Scope and references to presentations

Control room tools:

CS-Studio Phoebus, Logbook (olog), Save&Restore,

Common services:

Archiving: Archiver Appliance

Channel Finder (Record dictionary),

Machine protection

TU2BCO06 Verification and Validation of the ESS Machine Protection System-of-Systems (MP-SoS)
 TUPDP081 The ESS Fast Beam Interlock System - Design, Deployment and Commissioning of the Normal Conducting Linac

Personnel protection

•TUMBCMO37 Personnel Safety Systems for ESS Beam on Dump and Beam on Target Operations •TUPDP078 Management of the Change Control Process for Protection Systems at ESS



The Control System

Getting started...

ess

Challenges:

Many participating institutes and suppliers

Growing organisation, with developers coming in from different backgrounds
 How to:

- Keep overall consistency and achieve a maintainable status
- Fulfil the expectations (internal & external) to be state-of-the-art
- Be prepared for continuous evolution

Set standards and build support structures

- Was a challenge in a new organisation, high fluctuation of personnel

Standards – hardware platforms Set early to keep consistency



Signal speed 10 MHz 1 MHz 100 kHz MTCA.4 10 kHz 1 kHz 100 Hz EtherCAT 10 Hz 1 Hz 0.1 Hz

MTCA.4 for high-bandwidth applications

- THMBCMO21 Development of Standard MicroTCA Deployment at ESS
- Will have ~300 MTCA systems in the final configuration
- A pity is that there are "camps" with limit interoperability of components
 - Could we as the community do something? Open source developments to the rescue? Push manufacturers?

PLCs and EtherCAT with and without PLC fill their respective ranges well

- THMBCM011 Full Stack PLC to EPICS Integration at ESS
- THPDP052 Characterizing Motion Control Systems to Enable Accurate Continuous and Event-Based Scans

In general, adoption has been good.

- A couple of minor exceptions that can have to be sorted out.

- No hardware zoo, as we feared

Standards – software side

Selection of the fundamental components



Linux CentOS 7 -> Ubuntu

EPICS 7 + e3 environment

CS-Studio -> Phoebus

Development and deployment systems







Development Tools and goals #1



In 2015 ICALEPCS 2015 contribution we wrote:

"Our goal is to build the system based on the EPICS Version 4. **All our low-level** controllers as well as software applications use the version 4 structured data and normative types at the application level and communicate using pvAccess."

To push towards that goal, we wanted to be active in the EPICS community

- Funding developments and developing internal know-how
- Get involved in the "core" EPICS development as well as client tools
 In the meantime, EPICS V4 became EPICS 7.

For all practical purposes we can say that we have reached the goal this spring.

As presented in the EPICS workshop on Saturday. Not 100% yet, but close.

Development

Tools and goals #2

Like all projects, we needed to have a development environment How to get that up in a short time in a rapidly evolving environment?

Try to look at existing concepts.

Some additional goals:

- Threshold to update should be as low as possible
- Keep up with new developments
- Enable quality control as far as possible
- Frequent small updates instead of a "big bang"
- System-wide features (e.g., access control, monitoring, channel finder) have to be consistently set up to be useful.

Started with a concept from PSI.



Development – early Tools and goals



As presented in ICALEPCS 2015

Early idea of "cloud-based" development Worked – almost.

- Deployment processes immature
- Different infrastructures and expectations at partner labs
- Lack of understanding of the underlying tools
- Copied from PSI

Rework was necessary

Needed to diverge, and study.

But the fundamental idea was not abandoned:

Small but frequent updates



Development

Tools and goals

The third generation of our EPICS Environment e3

- MO4BC005 Apples to Oranges: A Comparison of EPICS Build and Deployment Systems
- Inflicted pain to an internal group of developers, but achieved:
- IOC instances become very simple
- Modules (defining functionality) are centrally managed and there is a gatekeeper
- Separation of concerns: less load for integrators who do not have to worry about writing makefiles and collecting together software pieces
- Modules that provide system integration are centrally managed and updated
- Somewhat unorthodox way to build EPICS IOCs, but at least if you ask me, this works extremely well
- But you should ask users what they think
- Significantly lowers the barrier to keep IOCs up to date with developments in EPICS

EPICS IOC	E3 IOC
Run makeBaseApp	
Add database and protocol files	Add database and protocol files
Update Makefile	
Build	
Edit st.cmd	Write st.cmd ¹
Run	Run





Deployment

How to maintain an overview of thousands of IOCs?

Development takes us half the way. System have to be deployed to production.

- This can be done in countless ways... but we want also to be able to
 - Have an overview of what is out there: what, when, by whom
 - See what the IOCs are doing (system logs, putlog,...) and what they provide (EPICS PVs)
 - Be able to stop/start/restart them
- This is what our deployment system provides, provided:
 - a common "infrastructure" module is included in all IOCs
 - Provides recsync (Channel Finder), security settings, autosave,...
 - IOCs are (reasonably) up to date. Only then the picture is complete.

CE de	ploy & monitor / Statistics			• 4	B
	Statistics				
	IOC statistics		Host statistics		
	Registered IOCs	1251	Registered IOC-hosts	829	
	Deployed IOCs	1166	IOC-hosts with IOCs	383	
	Running IOCs	985	Reachable IOC-hosts with IOCs	330	
	Issue-free and running IOCs	831	Issue free IOC-hosts with IOCs	330	
	CE de	CE deploy & monitor / Statistics Statistics IOC statistics Registered IOCs Deployed IOCs Running IOCs Issue-free and running IOCs	CE deploy & monitor / Statistics Statistics IOC statistic Registered IOCs 1251 Deployed IOCs 1166 Running IOCs 985 Issue-free and running IOCs 831	CE deploy & monitor / Statistics Statistics IOC statistics Hest statistics Registered IOCs 1251 Registered IOC-hosts Deployed IOCs 1166 IOC-hosts with IOCs Running IOCs 985 Reachable IOC-hosts with IOCs Issue-free and running IOCs 831 Issue free IOC-hosts with IOCs	CE deploy & monitor / Statistics Host statistics IoC statistics Host statistics Registered IOCs 1251 Deployed IOCs 1166 Running IOCs 985 Reschable IOC-hosts with IOCs 330 Issue-free and running IOCs 831

985 of 1166 deployed IOCs running, on 383 hosts (mostly virtual)

Some IOCs have "issues" (missing or incomplete configuration, etc) As well as some hosts.





Deployment system

Features showcase (a selection)

÷	CE deploy & monitor / IOCs						
***		ALL	ONLY DEPLOYED	ONLY NOT DEPLOYED			Only my IOCs
		Search in IOC name Spk-040	e or user				
Ê		Status	IOC name	Description	Host	Network	Maintainer
~*		•	Spk-040CDL:SC-10C-030	TDK Lambda IOC	spk-c3s-vm-iocs	ChannelAccess-FEB	wojciechbinczyk
0		•	Spk-040CDL:SC-IOC-021	LC-002 Level Controller IOC	spk-c3s-vm-locs	ChannelAccess-FEB	wojciechbinczyk
		•	Spk-040CDL:SC-IOC-020	LC-001 Level Controller IOC	spk-c3s-vm-iocs	ChannelAccess-FEB	wojciechbinczyk
			0.1.010050.00100.004			AL 11 PER	

List and search for IOCs

ń	CE deploy & monitor / IOC D	etails: Spk-040RFCSC-I0C-211	٠
###			
<u><u></u></u>		ProcServLog info ^	
		Time range	
Ê		TE HOURS TIT 10/70/25 15/2011/ 70/25/10/11 15/2011/529 ANDASE:10/01/01/10/100800, StatusHasynffff, RunReason e Alla 2025 16 26 / 2 2522 10 252 10 25 2027 TRADASE:10/01/01/12/252 202005 2016 (0.1000)	
~*		1/10/202 35/8/17 2027/0/11 35/8/17.38 4X12, 097049/47(8):022 erf/s, 410assay/777 intclinet, addred, values1 1/10/202 35/8/17 2027/0/11 35/8/17.38 4X12, 0997049/170121 err/s, 410assay/777 intclinet, addred, values1 1/10/202 35/8/17 2027/0/11 35/8/17.38 4X12,095704100121 err/s, 410assay/777 intclinet, addred, values1 1/10/202 35/8/17 2027/0/11 35/8/17.38 4X12,095704100121 err/s, 410assay/777 intclinet, addred, values1 1/10/202 35/8/17 2027/0/11 35/8/17.38 4X12,095704100121 err/s, 410assay/777 intclinet, addred, values1	
0		1/1/0/202 35:45:7 2027/0411 35:65:17.38 Midse:jp4rRed, statusiaya777, combasso 0 1/1/0/202 35:45:7 2027/0411 35:66:17.38 Mid2:jp467, statusiaya777, statusiay777, statusi	

4 ~ Spk-040RFC:SC-IOC-211 Spk-040RFC:SC-IOC-211 spk4-rf2-vm-ioc.tn.esss.lu.se spk4-rf2-vm-ioc.tn.esss.lu.se IOC NAME DESCRIPTION Spk-040RFC:SC-IOC-211 IOC for Spk-040RFC E-Pickup System 2 GIT https://gitlab.esss.lu.se/iocs/factory/e3-ioc-epickup-spk4-2.git REVISION 2.0.1 IOC SERVICE CONTROLS STOP DEPLOYMENT COMMENT ICSHWI-11273: Update E-Pickup IOC Log DEPLOYED ON spk4-rf2-vm-ioc.tn.esss.lu.se Type IOC name Revision Ð Spk-040RFC:SC-IOC-211 2.0.1 MAINTAINER

Direct access to system logs

Deployment info Link to revision

۲

Management Link to Git repo



Lessons learned – so far

Mostly my personal reflections

Setting overarching goals has paid off

- We did not get into the mess we feared.
- People have played well with the rules when they were clear

Communication

- Do not expect that you will be immediately understood
- Repeat and cross-check common understanding

Listen to your stakeholders.

- But sometimes, what the stakeholders want is not the same as they need.
- Again, spend sufficient time to understand the issues

Be prepared to change course when needed

• Not too quick though. When you are convinced that there is a better alternative

Future work and conclusions

The road to user operation

- Basics of the control system are in place and working
 - We could commission a substantial part of our machine with relatively few major issues
 - EPICS 7 and pvAccess work well
 - Data volumes are an issue need more intelligent ways to handle appropriately
- Integration on larger scale needs to be improved implemented
 - Adding automated sequences to handle routine sequences
 - Improves reliability and speeds up machine setup
 - Critical for the next stages complexity has grown too large to handle "manually".
- Lots of awesome work has been done by my colleagues in-house and in the community
 - Has felt like mountain climbing but the top is getting closer
- Future will show, but I think we have a good basis for the years to come.







Thank you for your attention!